

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,547	04/01/2004	Christa Wohriska	Ruff Case 28	9649	
23474	7590 08/25/2006	EXAMINER			
	HEL BOUTELL & TAI	NGUYEN, DINH Q			
	2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3752		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/815,547	WOHRISKA, CHRISTA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01.	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s) is objected to by the learning of the drawing (s) is objected to by the learning of the lear	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/04 & 12/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation a flow guiding system, and the claim also recites a swirler device which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.
- 2. For the purpose of this Office action, the claims will be examined as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

4. Claims 1-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abplanalp et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,083,917) as best understood by the Examiner.

Abplanalp disclose a dispensing head for a dosing device comprising: a plastic outer component B' with at least one outlet nozzle 27a, an inner component part 23a with a flow channel arrangement 23a/25a, wherein the flow guiding system 24a is placed in front of the outlet nozzle 27a and is an integral part of the outer component B', the annular space 25open the flow path to the flow guiding system 24a (see figure 2), the outer component B' axially acting locking to the inner component part 23a by press fit locking friction between the two components

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clevenger et al. in view of Abplanalp et al. (U.S. Patent No. 2,989,251) as best understood by the Examiner.

Clevenger teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a flow guiding system. However, Abplanalp et al. discloses a dispensing head with an outer component 6 with a flow guiding system 2/5, and an inner component part 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Clevenger et al. with a flow guiding system as suggested by Abplanalp et al. Doing so would provide a way to atomizing material (see column 1, lines 20+).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a dispensing head: Volgel et al., Buisson, Lund, and Benoist.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752